



**BEST AVAILABLE COPY**



PCT/IB 0 4 / 0 2 1 7 8

( 3 0. 06. 04 )




INVESTOR IN PEOPLE

**PRIORITY  
DOCUMENT**

SUBMITTED OR TRANSMITTED IN  
COMPLIANCE WITH RULE 17.1(a) OR (b)

The Patent Office  
Concept House  
Cardiff Road  
Newport  
South Wales  
NP10 8QQ



I, the undersigned, being an officer duly authorised in accordance with Section 74(1) and (4) of the Deregulation & Contracting Out Act 1994, to sign and issue certificates on behalf of the Comptroller-General, hereby certify that annexed hereto is a true copy of the documents as originally filed in connection with the patent application identified therein.

In accordance with the Patents (Companies Re-registration) Rules 1982, if a company named in this certificate and any accompanying documents has re-registered under the Companies Act 1980 with the same name as that with which it was registered immediately before re-registration save for the substitution as, or inclusion as, the last part of the name of the words "public limited company" or their equivalents in Welsh, references to the name of the company in this certificate and any accompanying documents shall be treated as references to the name with which it is so re-registered.

In accordance with the rules, the words "public limited company" may be replaced by p.l.c., plc, P.L.C. or PLC.

Re-registration under the Companies Act does not constitute a new legal entity but merely subjects the company to certain additional company law rules.




Signed

*Stephen Hordley*

Dated

20 April 2004



The  
Patent  
Office

08JUL03 0820933-2 002877  
P01/7700 0.00-0315931.6

1/77

**Request for grant of a patent**

(See notes on the back of this form. You can also get an explanatory leaflet from the Patent Office to help you fill in this form)

**The Patent Office**

Cardiff Road  
Newport  
Gwent NP10 8QQ

1.	Your reference	PHGB 030107GBP		
2.	Patent application number (The Patent Office will fill in this part)	0315931.6		8 JUL 2003
3.	Full name, address and postcode of the or of each applicant (underline all surnames)	KONINKLIJKE PHILIPS ELECTRONICS N.V. GROENEWOUDSEWEG 1 5621 BA EINDHOVEN THE NETHERLANDS 07419294001		
	Patents ADP Number (if you know it)			
	If the applicant is a corporate body, give the country/state of its incorporation	THE NETHERLANDS		
4.	Title of the invention	RADIO DEVICE TESTING SYSTEM		
5.	Name of your agent (if you have one)			
	"Address for service" in the United Kingdom to which all correspondence should be sent (including the postcode)	Philips Intellectual Property & Standards Cross Oak Lane Redhill Surrey RH1 5HA		
	Patents ADP number (if you know it)	08359655001		
6.	If you are declaring priority from one or more earlier patent applications, give the country and the date of filing of the or of each of these earlier applications and (if you know it) the or each application number	Country	Priority Application number	Date of filing
7.	If this application is divided or otherwise derived from an earlier UK application, give the number and the filing date of the earlier application	Number of earlier application	Date of filing (day/month/year)	
8.	Is a statement of inventorship and of right to grant of a patent required in support of this request? (Answer "Yes" if:			
	a) any applicant named in part 3 is not an inventor, or			
	b) there is an inventor who is not named as an applicant, or			
	c) any named applicant is a corporate body.			
	See note (d))			

YES

# Patents Form 1/77

9. Enter the number of sheets for any of the following items you are filing with this form.  
Do not count copies of the same document.

Continuation sheets of this form

Description	13
Claims(s)	3
Abstract	1
Drawings	7

10. If you are also filing any of the following, state how many against each item:

## Priority Documents

Translations of priority documents  
Statement of inventorship and right  
to grant of a patent (*Patents Form 7/77*)  
Request for preliminary examination and  
search (*Patents Form 9/77*)  
Request for substantive examination  
(*Patents Form 10/77*)

Any other documents

(Please specify)

11. I/We request the grant of a patent on the basis of this application.

Signature

D. J. Lel

Date

7 JULY 2003.

12. Name and daytime telephone number of person to contact in the United Kingdom

01293 81 5143

DR D T MURLEY

## Warning

*After an application for a patent has been filed, the Comptroller of the Patent Office will consider whether publication or communication of the invention should be prohibited or restricted under Section 22 of the Patents Act 1977. You will be informed if it is necessary to prohibit or restrict your invention in this way. Furthermore, if you live in the United Kingdom, Section 23 of the Patents Act 1977 stops you from applying for a patent abroad without first getting written permission from the Patent Office unless an application has been filed at least 6 weeks beforehand in the United Kingdom for a patent for the same invention and either no direction prohibiting publication or communication has been given, or any such direction has been revoked.*

## Notes

- a) If you need help to fill in this form or you have any questions, please contact the Patent Office on 0645 500505.  
b) Write your answers in capital letters using black ink or you may type them.  
c) If there is not enough space for all the relevant details on any part of this form, please continue on a separate sheet of paper and write "see continuation sheet" in the relevant part(s). Any continuation sheet should be attached to this form.  
d) If you have answered "Yes" Patents Form 7/77 will need to be filed.  
e) Once you have filled in the form you must remember to sign and date it.  
f) For details of the fee and ways to pay please contact the Patent Office.

## DESCRIPTION

## RADIO DEVICE TESTING SYSTEM

5       The present invention relates to a testing system for testing radio devices and radio device software stack operation. The invention further relates to software stack perturbation means and testing techniques suitable for perturbing or modifying the operation of such stacks and monitoring and analysing the effect of such perturbation. The present invention has particular, but not exclusive, application to the testing, development and analysis of digital radio protocol software stacks and their performance both in-stack, layer-to-layer and across the air in a radio network.

15       Digital radio standards enable interoperability between devices in which radios employing the standards are provided. Well known examples of such radio standards which provide a wireless interface between devices include "Bluetooth<sup>TM</sup>", the IEEE802.11 family of standards (e.g. "WiFi<sup>TM</sup>") and the emerging low power low data rate standard known as "ZigBee<sup>TM</sup>". At the time of writing ZigBee is in the process of being ratified by both the IEEE802.15.4 standards group and the ZigBee Alliance group of companies. Other standards include the well known mobile telephonic standard known generically as "GSM", and other emerging "3G" telephonic standards.

25       Such standards are typically described with analogy to the OSI layer model which defines a communication standard in terms of a stack of layers which perform different functions and services on data messages en-route through the stack. Software application code is usually referred to as the highest layer in the stack. By way of example, say a pair of radio devices are destined for application in a light switch and lamp. The application layer code may monitor the position of the light switch, and when a change is introduced by the user, the application layer records the change, and passes a data bit or byte in a radio message signifying the change to the next "network" or "link" layer.

Code in this network layer may retrieve the radio address of the lamp with which the switch was previously paired, and insert the address into a field in the message. The message is then passed onto a medium access control (MAC) layer which perhaps encrypts the message, and finally the physical layer (PHY) receives the message and the baseband and transceiver circuitry of the radio device transmits the message over the air.

The listening paired radio device in the lamp may then receive the message at the physical layer, and the message is passed up through the MAC and network layers which decrypt, check the message source address and so on until finally the lamp application layer receives the payload data and switches the lamp on.

Hence, such radio stacks effectively generate, format and operate on messages for transmission or messages received. A radio standard document may run to many hundreds of pages describing the services, functions and optional functions of the layers.

The testing of the operation of such radio stacks in development is therefore not trivial, especially when the radios may be deployed in a wide range of both physical, and logical piconet, network or scatternet configurations.

Tektronix<sup>TM</sup> market a device (BPA100 Bluetooth Protocol Analyzer) for testing Bluetooth<sup>TM</sup> enabled radio devices. The BPA100 Bluetooth Protocol Analyzer consists of protocol analyzer software and an Air Probe which houses the Bluetooth approved baseband controller and radio. The Air Probe connects to a Windows<sup>TM</sup> based personal computer. The BPA100 can independently and non-intrusively intercept baseband radio traffic and log, decode and analyze the packet data being transmitted and received. It may also participate in a piconet, introduce intentional errors and act as a known reference device. Similar air or packet "sniffing" and logging devices such as the BPA-D10 from Mobiwave PTE Ltd (Singapore) are also known.

Whilst the aforementioned systems can display intercepted payload data, an error in a stack operation or layer function can only be inferred by those operating the test equipment. In real life environments, radio

interference and shadowing may introduce random bits into a message, corrupting the message in such a way that cannot easily be simulated. Furthermore, although the radio standard may be designed to handle the error in a certain way, it is not easy to verify that the handling actually occurs as  
5 designed.

Hence, it would be desirable to provide a testing system that enabled a developer to, at a low level, directly influence or perturb a radio stack layer or data therein to enable improved and thorough testing. In addition, it would be desirable if the evolution of a radio message as it passes en-route through a  
10 stack could be monitored, to enable more efficient debugging and pinpointing of stack layer errors.

Hence, according to a first aspect of the present invention there is provided a radio device testing system comprising at least one radio device having a software radio stack through which radio messages comprising  
15 payload data are processed in accordance with a predetermined radio protocol, and test control means for controlling and monitoring the testing of said at least one radio device via a link therebetween, characterised in that stack perturbation means linked to said stack and to said control means is provided and where, under control of said test control means, said stack  
20 perturbation means perturbs an aspect of said radio stack processing.

In a preferred embodiment the system provides stack perturbation means in the form of a software code message dispatcher module which is coupled to the radio stack of a device. The dispatcher and radio device are linked via a serial port and cable to the test control means in the form of a  
25 personal computer (PC) which has been provided with scripted test instructions. The message dispatcher has hooks into the stack layers, monitors said layers via said hooks and passes data back to the test and control PC.

The dispatcher also perturbs aspects of operation of the stack  
30 according to the test being carried out. For example, a call to the MAC layer may cause said layer to alter a bit that signifies whether encryption is enabled or not for a network or piconet of communicating radio devices. In a

master/slave configured network test, the response of the master device in receiving an unencrypted message may be logged by its message dispatcher and supplied to storage for later analysis.

5 Preferably, radio message monitoring means in the form of a sniffer for monitoring messages over the air is included in the system, which enables a designer to correlate test results comprising over the air message content with perturbed content provided by radio device message dispatchers. For example, a test may comprise perturbation of the network identifier of a master device, and the response of the slave radio devices in suddenly being  
10 orphaned from their network, both over the air and in-stack may be recorded and analysed.

Advantageously, in another embodiment radio devices may be physically separated at an extreme of range (e.g. 50m for a ZigBee radio standard). In such an embodiment, the test and control means comprises a  
15 server-client PC network, synchronised via the simple network time protocol (SNTP). Hence, a server controller may initiate synchronised testing over a wide area, with the data from perturbation means being supplied to a central data file for later analysis. This embodiment enables testing in situations much closer to real world environments, with devices being separated over a broad  
20 area in a location by walls, ceilings, furniture and so on.

These and other features are further described in the attached claims to which the reader is now directed.

The present invention will now be described, by way of example only,  
25 and with reference to the accompanying drawings wherein:

Figure 1 illustrates a prior art testing system,

Figure 2 is a diagram of a testing system in accordance with an aspect of the present invention,

Figures 3A and 3B illustrate aspects of a radio device having a software  
30 stack and a radio message,

Figure 4 illustrates operation of perturbation means with respect to a state diagram of the software stack,

Figures 5A and 5B illustrate alternative configurations for said perturbation means,

Figure 6 illustrates an example test sequence operated by a testing system, and

5 Figure 7 illustrates a distributed testing system embodiment.

It should be noted that the Figures are diagrammatic and not drawn to scale. Relative dimensions and proportions of parts of these Figures have been shown exaggerated or reduced in size, for the sake of clarity and convenience in the drawings. The same reference signs are generally used to  
10 refer to corresponding or similar features in modified and different embodiments.

Figure 1 is a diagram of a prior art testing system. The testing system comprises a personal computer 10 complete with monitor 12 connected to a  
15 protocol analyser device 14, which in turn can communicate via radio messages 18 to other radio devices 16. The radio devices 16 together with the protocol analyser 14 form a radio network which is tested by the protocol analyser 14 in conjunction with a testing computer 10. An example of a protocol analyser 14 is that called the BPA100 made by Textronix<sup>TM</sup>, which is  
20 used for Bluetooth protocol analysing and testing. The protocol analyser BPA 100 is a test tool that can non intrusively and independently intercept baseband traffic and log, decode and analyse the packet data being transmitted and received. Hence analysis over the air is enabled with the prior art system of Figure 1.

25 Figure 2 shows a testing system made in accordance with an aspect of the present invention, in which inter-layer and inter-stack debugging and analysis is enabled. The testing system comprises a personal computer 10 with a display 12 for displaying output. The computer 10 is connected to storage 20 (shown as external storage in the diagram, those skilled in the art  
30 will appreciate that storage may be internal to the computer 10) for storing test programs 22 and test scripts 24 supplied on program carrier 21. The carrier is shown in the diagram in the form of an optical compact disc (CD\_ROM) but it



should be readily appreciated that the program carrier may be embodied as magnetic storage (e.g. a floppy disc), non-volatile external memory storage (e.g. a flash memory "keyring" or "dongle") or as a signal encoding the program instructions, and made available for download over a network or the internet.

The computer 10 has an interface 28 which allows for cable links 30 to external test boards 32 via test board interface 34. The interface 28, link 30 and interface 34 on the test board 34 may be of a standard serial type running at 19,200 kb per second. Alternatively the link 30 and interface 28, 34 may conform to the universal serial bus standard (USB). The test board 32 comprises a serialiser 36 connected to the serial interface 34. The serialiser comprises buffer memory which receives control data and instructions from the test program 22 and test script 24 via the link 30, and buffers said data and commands for delivery to perturbing means in the form of a message dispatcher module 38.

The message dispatcher module 38 in turn supplies received test data and commands to radio device 16 mounted on the test board 32. Also shown in Figure 2 is a radio device 16 which simply participates in a radio network under test. The network comprises radio devices 16 mounted on test boards 32 and unmounted radio device 16. In operation radio messages 18 between the devices 16 may be perturbed by the message dispatcher module 38. Hence in the diagram radio signal 18a comprises a perturbed or modified radio message whereas at the instant of operation shown in Figure 2 the radio signal 18 has not been perturbed or modified in any way.

Turning now to the construction of the radio device itself. Figure 3A shows a typical radio device 16. The device 16 comprises an antenna 16a connected to a transceiver 16b, a microcontroller 16c and memory storage 16d. The memory 16d stores a software radio stack 40 comprising a physical layer 41 (PHY) followed by a medium access control layer 42 (MAC), a network layer 43 (NWK) and an application layer 44 (AC). The depiction of a radio standard or protocol as a stack of layers is well known to those skilled in the art since it follows the OSI method for describing such radio standards.

Figure 3B shows a radio message generated by radio device 16 operating in accordance with the radio stack and protocol rules 40. The radio message 46 in general comprises various header fields 48, data field 50 and checksum field 52. In operation a digital radio receives a radio message 46 at the physical layer 41. Software comprising the physical layer 41 operates on various fields of the message relevant to it and as defined in the radio standard. For example the first header field in portion 48 of the message may be stripped by the physical layer and then passed to software comprising the medium access control layer 42. The message is acted on by each layer and passed up to the next layer until it eventually reaches the application layer 44 which comprises application code which may for example involve turning a light bulb on and off. Payload data in field 50 is in this fashion supplied to the application layer 44 which then operates on said data. An example of a digital radio standard comprising the above layers is that known as IEEE 802.15.4 which is currently being standardised by the Zigbee alliance group of companies. The Zigbee radio protocol is designed for low power, low bandwidth applications such as lighting, instrumentation and home control. A radio protocol and standard commonly known as Bluetooth<sup>TM</sup> is arranged in a similar fashion in that a software radio stack 40 is provided and instead of network layer 43 a logical link layer is supplied.

The development of such radio protocols or radio standards requires much testing and debugging to ensure the software stack supplied in a radio conforms to the specification as defined by the relevant standards body or group, (for example the Zigbee Alliance). Intricate debugging and testing of for example network layer operations and the way in which a radio network responds to an error event is not trivial. The system of Figure 2 enables data to be input directly into a function (which may represent a state in a state machine model of the radio stack standard) of a layer of the radio stack hence surreptitiously perturbing, modifying or disturbing the operation of the radio stack. The response of device 16 when receiving a message, which may for example have source address data in the field 48 reserved for source addresses which is not compatible with the rules laid down by the Radio

Standard, may be observed. This is achieved in the testing system by incorporation of the message dispatcher 38, which under control of testing computer 10, determines when and what to input directly to the stack of a radio device 16 under test. Figure 4 illustrates in more detail the operation and function of the message dispatcher module.

In Figure 4 part of the operation of the software stack is illustrated by a state machine diagram well known to those skilled in the art. The various states (S1, S1.1, S2) that the physical layer may have according to the radio standard are represented as first state S1 52, second state S1.1 54, third state S1.2 56, and so on. The state S1.3 58 is shown as inputting to state S2 60 of the MAC Layer 42, and represents a transfer of the message up through the stack from the physical (PHY) layer to the MAC layer. The MAC layer may then operate on that message to produce a second state S2.1 62. The message dispatcher 38 in this embodiment is a software module which has knowledge of the states and their associated functional calls and parameters according to the radio standard. The message dispatcher hence may input data shown as 'DP\_in' in the Figure to state 1 (S1) and also monitor the output of state 1 in the form of stack response data 'DP\_out'. For example a first operation which the physical layer may apply upon receiving a radio message may be to check the length of the message. The message dispatcher 38 may perturb such a check, by for example, altering the 'LEN' data in the field of the message to an out of frame (for the radio standard under test) number thereby testing the operation of the functions associated with state 1 and state 1.2.

Furthermore the message dispatcher 38 may have hooks and/or calls into the MAC layer 42, network (NWK) layer 43 and even the application (APP) layer 44 if the developer of the software stack is concurrently developing the application code for whatever application the radio device is ultimately intended for. Message dispatcher 38 also comprises connects via link 30 to test computer 10 to receive data for input 'DP\_in' to the stack and also to output intermediate data 'DP\_out' from the stack 40 to test computer 10.

Figure 5A and 5B show alternative embodiments for the perturbation means in the form of a software message dispatcher.

Figure 5A shows the message dispatcher (MD) 38 as being stored in the memory 16' of the radio device 16 under test. Hence in this embodiment the developers of the software stack also include in memory a message dispatcher software module which passes data to and from the software stack (SS) via link 30. Hence in this case the radio device 16 is in fact a modified device for testing and is shown as 16' in the diagram.

Figure 5B shows a standard radio device 16 and perturbation means 38 embodied in a programmable microcontroller (MC) such as those provided by the well known 8051 or 8051 family of microcontrollers. The microcontroller stores program instructions for despatching messages to and from the software stack of radio device 16.

To drive the testing system of Figure 2 the following testing procedure was adopted. In a first stage of the testing procedure a test script (TS) is written. This test script informs testing computer 10 of individual radio messages, their data content and at which time the messages are to be issued. After completing the development of the test script 24 each instance of the device 16 under test is mounted on respective test boards 32 and initialised.

Depending on how the test script was constructed the test will automatically begin or require a starting action from the user at test computer 10. Once started, test computer 10 supplies via link 30 to message dispatchers 38 primitive messages according to the script for input to the message dispatcher. The internal messaging response (DP\_out) of a software stack 40 is recorded by its respective message dispatcher 38 and supplied back to test computer 10 which then stores the supplied data in storage 20 for later analysis and display. Of course those skilled in the art will recognise that the test program 22 may indicate in real time the data being received from message dispatchers 38 by displaying said messages and their contents in an appropriate format on display 12.

Example tests that the message dispatcher and system of Figure 2 may apply include perturbing or modifying a network address in a message and observing the response of the receiving radio in receiving said message

containing said unknown address. More than one radio network comprising radio devices 16 may be set up by the development tester, and effects such as interference or simply monitoring whether messages intended for another network are ignored if received by said network under test can be analysed.

5        Figure 6 illustrates in general the operation of the system of Figure 2. At step 90 (TI(DP\_in)) the test computer 10 retrieves test instructions and associated data (DP\_in) from the test script 24 and supplies said instruction and data via link 30 to serialiser buffer 36 which in turn at step 92 (MD(DP\_in)) supplies said test instruction and data to the message dispatcher module 38.  
10      The message dispatcher feeds such data into the stack 40 and at step 94 a stack operation (SO) occurs changing the state 52, 54 of the stack 40. The response data associated with this change in the stack is subsequently received by the message dispatcher as 'DP\_out' data as reflected at step 96 (MD(DP\_out)).

15        The message dispatcher module then supplies the retrieved data (DP\_out) to the test and control computer 10 via link 30 at step 98 (TC(DP\_out)). This data is then stored at step 100 in storage 20 and is later analysed at step 102 (ANAL). Hence inter and intra-layer stack operations may be monitored by the message dispatcher and information concerning  
20      these layers and function calls of the stack may be supplied back to the test computer for later analysis.

      An alternative distributed system embodiment is shown in Figure 7. The system comprises test control means in the form of a server computer 70 (SV) connected over a network via network link 71 to client control computers  
25      (C) 72, 74, and 76. The server computer 70 has access to storage 20 for storing test scripts and the test program to initiate test sequences. In this embodiment each client computer 72, 74, 76 is connected by serial link 30 to a respective test board 32. The test board having mounted thereon a radio device 16 and a message dispatcher module 38 as previously described. The  
30      test boards may be advantageously separated physically up to the maximum range indicated in the radio standard for operation.

For example the range of separation R1 between computer 72 and test computer 74 and their respective connected test boards 32 may be for the Zigbee standard about 50 meters. The range R2 separating the test boards associated with client test computers 74 and 76 may be smaller (for example  
5 20 metres) but there may be a physical object such as a wall separating such said test boards. Hence this network or distributed testing system enables a wider testing range and various real life parameters such as furniture which may affect radio interference and other effects to be tested with respect to radio stack and radio network operation.

10 Also provided in this embodiment is an interruptible joint power supply (PS) 80 which supplies power via power line 78 to test boards 32. The interruptible joint power supply 80 is controlled by radio message monitoring means in the form of a packet analyser or snooper or sniffer 84 (SN). One suitable embodiment of the sniffer comprises a computer with a Zigbee radio  
15 module 16 and which is programmed to passively monitor, intercept and log base band radio traffic. The sniffer 84 is provided with a network connection by network cable 71 to the server computer 70 which controls and synchronises the overall testing process. In this embodiment synchronisation of testing between computers 72, 74, 76 is controlled by server computer 70  
20 using the simple network time protocol (SNTP) as specified in the TCP/IP network protocol well known to those skilled in the art of computer networks.

In this embodiment the server poles the client computers 72, 74, 76 once a second to synchronise internal clocks of said computers. Hence the test script 24 may ensure time initialisation and subsequent deployment of  
25 messages at specified times across the distributed network. Furthermore, under control of the server computer 70 the sniffer 84 may monitor 'over the air' radio communication in the radio network formed by devices 16 and supply this data back to the server computer 70 for storage in a central data file stored in storage 20. Additionally, the joint power supply 80 may under control of the  
30 sniffer computer 84 and server computer 70 interrupt the power to one or more of the test boards participating in the network and monitor the response of those radio devices still powered.

Hence situations in which a device is orphaned from a network may be simulated and over the air radio traffic provided by the sniffer 84 included for analysis together with internal data from the software stacks of devices provided by their respective message dispatchers 38. This over the air data (OAD) is shown in Figure 6 at step 99 as being supplied to the test control means in the form of server 70 for storage (step 100) and later analysis (step 102) by an analysis computer 86 (AC).

The computer test scripts 24 enable appropriate analysis test scripts to be written since the designer of the test may also, given knowledge of the radio standard, describe an automated analysis script for analysing the data according to the scripted test purpose. For example, if a message should be sent from a device 16 to another device 16 which acknowledges and subsequently acts upon data in the message then, the analysis computer may look at the centrally stored data (comprising over the air and stack data) in storage 20 and provide simple analysis in the form of graphical cues to the tester indicating success or failure at various critical steps in the test.

In the foregoing a testing system comprising test control means and perturbation means is described. The perturbation means enables perturbation and subsequent monitoring of a radio stack operation. The perturbation means may be embodied as a message dispatcher program code module comprising code describing the radio stack state machine. The code module may be embedded in a microprocessor or microcontroller in a testboard having links to a radio device mounted thereon, or may be directly embedded in the microcontroller of a radio device under test. In a method data from a test script/test computer is passed to the perturbation module which accesses the radio stack under test and perturbs a stack operation, and retrieves any response data for supply to the test computer for later analysis.

In a further aspect of the present invention a distributed testing system was described enabling a wider range of environmental and real world situations to be simulated to enable thorough testing and debugging of the software stack in design for an application.

From reading the present disclosure, other modifications will be apparent to persons skilled in the art. Such modifications may involve other features which are already known in the design, manufacture and use of radio testing systems and component parts thereof and which may be used instead  
5 of or in addition to features already described herein without departing from the spirit and scope of the present invention.



## CLAIMS

1. A radio device testing system comprising:  
at least one radio device having a software radio stack through which  
5 radio messages comprising data are processed in accordance with a  
predetermined radio protocol, and  
test control means for controlling and monitoring the testing of said at  
least one radio device via a link therebetween;  
characterised in that  
10 perturbation means linked to said stack and to said control means is  
provided and where, under control of said test control means, said stack  
perturbation means perturbs an aspect of said radio stack processing.
2. A testing system according to claim 1, wherein said radio stack  
15 comprises a plurality of logical layers through which said radio messages are  
processed, and wherein said perturbation means is linked to at least one of  
said layers in said stack.
3. A testing system according to claim 2, wherein said perturbation aspect  
20 of said radio stack processing comprises said perturbation means altering a  
layer operation to perturb said data en route through said linked layer.
4. A testing system according to claim 2 or claim 3, wherein said  
perturbation aspect of said radio stack processing comprises said perturbation  
25 means altering said data of a message en route through said layer.
5. A testing system according to any preceding claim, further comprising  
storage means for receiving and storing test data from said test control means  
and perturbation means in a central data file.  
30
6. A testing system according to any preceding claim, further comprising  
radio message monitoring means for intercepting over the air radio messages

and supplying said message data to said test control means via a link therebetween.

7. A testing system according to any preceding claim, wherein said test  
5 and control means comprises a distributed system of client computers under the control of a server computer, each client being linked to at least one radio device and respective perturbing means, the radio devices linked to said clients thereby forming a radio network and wherein said server computer synchronises and controls perturbation, testing and monitoring of said radio  
10 network.

8. A testing system according to claim 6 and claim 7, further comprising an interruptible power supply for supplying power to the radio devices under test.

15 9. A testing system according to claim 8, wherein said power supply is interruptible under control of said radio monitoring means and said server computer.

10. A testing system according to any preceding claim, further comprising  
20 analysis means for automatically analysing said data stored in said central data file.

11. A method of testing a radio device in a testing system comprising at least one radio device having a software radio stack through which radio  
25 messages comprising data are processed in accordance with a predetermined radio protocol, test control means for controlling and monitoring the testing of said at least one radio device via a link therebetween, and perturbation means linked to said stack and to said control means, wherein said method comprises:

30 said test control means providing data for inclusion in messages to said perturbation means,

said perturbation means perturbing an aspect of said radio stack processing in dependence on said message data, and providing response data from said stack to said test control means.

5 12. A method according to claim 11, further comprising analysing said response data.

13. A method according to claim 12, wherein over the air radio messages are monitored by radio message monitoring means, and further provided to  
10 said test control means for analysis together with said stack response data.

14. A method according to claim 12, wherein the power supplied to said radio device by an interruptible power supply is interrupted under control of radio message monitoring means.

15

15. Program code which when run on a testing system causes said computer to carry out any of the methods as claimed in claims 11 to 14.

20

16. A program code carrier carrying program code which when executed on a testing computer cause said computer to carry out any of the methods as claimed in claims 11 to 14.

25

## ABSTRACT

## RADIO DEVICE TESTING SYSTEM

5        A testing system for testing the radio software stack (40) of a radio  
device (16) is described. The system comprises test control means (10) and  
perturbation means (38) connected to said radio device. The perturbation  
means enables perturbation and subsequent monitoring of a radio stack  
operation. The perturbation means may also directly alter data in a radio  
10    message en-route through the software stack. In a further aspect of the  
present invention a distributed testing system is described enabling a wider  
range of environmental and real world situations to be simulated to enable  
thorough testing of the software stack in design for an application.

15        (Figure 2)

Prior Art




Fig. 1




Fig. 2




Fig. 3A




Fig. 3B

4/7




Fig. 4






Fig. 5A




Fig. 5B

6/7




Fig. 6

7/7.




Fig. 7

**This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning  
Operations and is not part of the Official Record**

**BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES**

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

- ☐ BLACK BORDERS
- ☐ IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- ☒ FADED TEXT OR DRAWING
- ☐ BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING
- ☐ SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- ☐ COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS
- ☐ GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS
- ☒ LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT
- ☐ REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY
- ☐ OTHER: \_\_\_\_\_

**IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.**

**As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.**